**REVIEWER GUIDELINE FOR ARTICLE EVALUATION**

# Generally, the reviewer should comment on the significant strengths and weaknesses of the paper.

1. Reviewers should be aware of the aims and scope of the journal to evaluate whether the paper is fit to this journal; which the aims and scope of the journal are as follows:
2. Aims are to bridge and connect the gap between scholarships in the multi- discipline studies Southeast Asian region and global in any theme and issues on social sciences and humanities.
3. And the scope of QQRR broadly focuses on the research result on Social Sciences and Humanities related to the area of Southeast Asia which seeks to promote critical analysis upon inter-related issues within this geographical area economy, politic, social, art and cultural issues.
4. QQRR requested submitted papers to be indicated with the theoretical/Conceptual. The paper should review previous research or theories. The theory, if any, should be relevantly applied and discussed throughout the paper.
5. The paper should explain clearly the methodology, whether a systematic and coherent methodology should follow qualitative or quantitative empirical studies. Therefore, the reviewers are required to review the paper is following the convention of a research paper, which include clear abstract (with keywords), introduction, background, research questions and objectives, literature review and theoretical framework, methodology, analysis, findings, conclusion and recommendations.
6. The reviewers should be able to justify the contribution of the paper in few aspects such as contribution to advance knowledge in the discipline, the implication of the findings, attraction to the other people or researchers or policymakers for further research and application.
7. The article should show its capability in communication, which is adequate in term of language efficiency, clarity and coherence. The paper should be easy to understand and following the logical format, good data presentation, points, tables, figures, graphs, etc.
8. Please state in your review report if the paper fulfils the criteria guided by evaluation form below. Comments and suggestion for improvement can be also be done on the paper/manuscript in the form of track changes. The manuscript should be returned in word file, not pdf.